UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,505	10/30/2003	Yasuo Takebe	61352-046	5764
	7590 05/04/200 ', WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street,	N.W.	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,505	TAKEBE ET AL.	
Examiner	A 4 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Raymond Alejandro	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
		20 (b (d b -	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	a rra an and in a number of finally rais	atad alaima	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻² The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amandmant (I	OTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant Amendment (i	- TOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· —	l be entered and an ex	rplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>107</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-79, 82-106, 108-</u> <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>	<u>-109</u> .		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Raymond Alejandro/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	
	i illiary Examinor, Art O	1700	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (new issues) on page 4 of 04/30/09 reply, applicant is introducing for the FIRST time the issue of the apparent meaning of the term "city gas" and has provided two references for that purposes. As such, that argument generates new issues requiring at least further consideration.

With respect to item 8- Affidavit or other evidence: applicant is introducing for the FIRST time the evidence of REFERENCE 1 and REFERENCE 2 against the 103 rejection over Fuller et al and JP'252 which was made of record prior to the issuance of the Final Rejection dated 01/30/09.